Fermi Estimation Thinking

Idea In Short

Some of the most useful business questions have no data behind them. How big is this market? How many support agents will we need? Fermi estimation answers such questions with a rough calculation built from simple assumptions. Named for the physicist Enrico Fermi, the method breaks a large unknown into smaller pieces that you can guess sensibly, then multiplies them. The goal is the right order of magnitude, not precision. Consultants, analysts, and founders use it to test whether an idea is plausible before spending money on research. This article explains the steps, shows a worked example, covers ways to check the answer, and notes where the method fails.

A founder claims that a niche scheduling app could earn ten million dollars a year. Instead of arguing, an adviser asks for the chain: how many small clinics exist in the region, how many would pay, and at what price? In ten minutes, the numbers suggest a figure closer to one million. The claim is not dead, but the discussion has become concrete.

What it is

A Fermi problem is an estimate built from reasoned guesses. Wikipedia describes the Fermi method as a back-of-the-envelope calculation and notes that scientists commonly make such rough estimates before turning to more sophisticated methods.1

The same entry explains why it works. When you estimate several quantities, your overestimates and underestimates tend to cancel each other, so the final answer is often closer than any single guess would suggest. It also records that Fermi estimated the yield of the first atomic test at about ten kilotons, while the accepted figure was twenty-one kilotons. That is off by about half, yet in the right range for a quick judgment.

The point is not accuracy to the decimal. It is to find the right size of the answer, so you know whether a plan is worth pursuing.

The basic steps

A teaching page from the University of California, Berkeley, outlines a simple recipe: break the problem into parts, estimate each part, and combine them.2

First, define the quantity in plain words. "How many coffee cups does our office use in a year?" is clearer than "What is our beverage consumption?"

Second, decompose it. Cups per year equal people times cups per person per day times working days. Each factor is easier to guess than the total.

Third, estimate each factor with a number you can defend. Fourth, multiply and round. Finally, state the answer as a range or order of magnitude, and note which factor you trust least.

A worked example

Suppose you want to size the number of dental clinics that might buy a booking tool in a mid-sized country with 20 million people. A rough chain might run like this. One dentist serves about 1,500 people, which suggests about 13,000 dentists. A typical clinic has three dentists, so there are about 4,400 clinics.

Next, ask how many are small enough to need simple software, perhaps half, or 2,200. Then ask how many would buy within three years, perhaps one in five, or 440. At a price of 600 dollars a year, the revenue is about 264,000 dollars. The figure tells you the market is real but small for a venture-scale plan.

Notice what the exercise did. It took five minutes, used round numbers, and exposed the weakest assumption, which is the share who would buy. That is where you should spend research effort.

Upper and lower bounds

When a single guess feels shaky, bound it. Ask what the largest plausible value is and what the smallest plausible value is. Then use the middle of the range, often the geometric mean, which suits quantities that vary by factors and not by fixed amounts.

A Berkeley page on Fermi problems notes the value of comparing orders of importance, so that you can see which factors dominate the result. If one factor could be ten times larger or smaller and the others vary by a quarter, concentrate on the volatile one.

Bounds also help in negotiation and planning. If even your optimistic estimate falls short of the target, the idea needs rethinking. If even your pessimistic estimate clears the hurdle, you can proceed with confidence.

Checking by a second route

A lone chain of reasoning can hide an error. An Australian National University systems toolkit makes the point that Fermi estimation is more than guessing, and it advises checking the result with more than one line of logic.3

For the dental example, you could work from the other side. Take national spending on dental software, if you can find a figure, and divide by the share that goes to booking tools. Or take the number of clinics with a website and estimate how many use online booking. If both routes land within a factor of three, you can trust the order of magnitude.

When they disagree, you have learned something. One route contains a bad assumption, and finding it is often the most valuable result.

Fermi questions in business education

The method has a place in business teaching. A paper on Fermi questions for business students argues that order-of-magnitude estimates can head off ill-advised spending.4

The same habit helps managers in daily work. Before approving a project, ask for a one-page estimate of the benefits and the cost. If the figures cannot be sketched with simple assumptions, the proposer may not understand the economics yet.

Use it to challenge claims too. When a vendor says that a tool will save thousands of hours, calculate how many people use it, how many tasks it affects, and how many minutes it can plausibly save per task. The numbers often shrink.

Habits that improve accuracy

Anchor your guesses in things you know. Compare unknown quantities with familiar ones, such as your own team size, your last project budget, or a recent invoice. Familiar anchors reduce wild errors.

Use consistent units. Many errors come from mixing years with months or dollars with thousands of dollars. Write the units next to each number as you go.

Practice often. Physics education groups, such as the University of Maryland's physics education research pages, treat estimation as a skill that improves with repeated exercises.5 A short daily estimate, such as the number of emails your company sends in a week, builds judgment. Compare with the real figure when you can, and note whether you tend to guess high or low.

Sharing the reasoning

An estimate is only as useful as the reasoning others can inspect. Write the chain on one page, with each assumption, its source or logic, and your confidence. Colleagues can then challenge a single link, and they can supply a better figure without redoing the whole calculation.

Invite a quick sensitivity check. Change each assumption by a factor of two and see whether the conclusion survives. If the answer flips on one assumption, that assumption deserves real data before anyone commits money.

A hypothetical example

Imagine a hypothetical bakery chain considering a delivery service. The owner guesses that it could add a quarter of current revenue. A manager runs a quick estimate. Each of 12 shops serves about 300 customers a day, and perhaps 3 percent would order delivery at an average of 18 dollars, with 360 trading days a year.

That gives roughly 12 times 300 times 0.03 times 18 times 360, or about 700,000 dollars. Current revenue is about 9 million, so the gain is under 8 percent, and the delivery costs would reduce it further. The owner scales the plan down to a pilot in two shops. The case is invented, but it shows how a rough estimate can reshape a big idea.

Cautions

Do not mistake a rough answer for a measurement. A Fermi estimate tells you the likely size, and it should not be quoted as a precise figure in a contract or a forecast that others rely on.

Beware of correlated errors. If all your guesses lean in the same direction, they will not cancel. Ask whether you have a systematic bias, such as optimism about adoption.

Finally, know when to stop. If the decision is large or hard to reverse, use the estimate to decide what data to collect, and then collect it.

- 1Fermi method
- 2Fermi problems
- 3Fermi estimation
- 4Fermi questions for business students
- 5Estimation

Summary

Fermi estimation means reaching a rough but reasoned answer by decomposing a problem into pieces you can estimate. Errors in individual guesses tend to partly cancel, so the total is often closer than you would expect, and bounds help you see the plausible range. The method is a fast filter for ideas, a check on claims, and a way to expose which assumptions matter most. It does not replace data when stakes are high. Pick one number you have been asked about but never worked out, write the chain of assumptions that would produce it, and see whether the answer changes your decision.