

# 7 Skills For Market Uncertainty

## Idea In Short

Executives making high-stakes calls with incomplete information can borrow directly from how professional traders operate. Define the acceptable loss before chasing the gain, express a view as a probability rather than a certainty, and judge a decision by the process that produced it rather than by how it turned out. Global currency markets process \$9.6 trillion in trades every single day on exactly that kind of incomplete information, and the people who handle that pressure well rely on seven learnable habits rather than bold prediction. Risk assessment, probabilistic thinking, skepticism toward fresh data, emotional discipline, scenario planning, recognizing genuine uncertainty and judging decisions by process carry directly into budgeting, hiring and strategic bets made before the full picture is known. None of the seven requires a finance degree, only deliberate practice.

Every meaningful decision gets made with something missing. A strategy team commits next year's budget before next year's demand is known. A board approves an acquisition before the target company's culture has fully revealed itself. Financial markets compress that same problem into its purest form, trading currencies, rates and commodities on information that is incomplete by design and often stale within minutes. Global currency trading averaged \$9.6 trillion a day in April 2025, according to the 1, and every one of those trades was placed without full knowledge of what would happen next. The people who navigate that environment well are rarely the boldest forecasters. They share a set of seven learnable skills that travel well beyond a trading screen and into any boardroom forced to decide before the facts are complete.

## Setting the boundary before the trade

Probability describes what might happen, while risk assessment asks what it would cost. The distinction matters because it means defining an acceptable loss before committing capital, rather than sizing a decision around the gain a person hopes to see. In online trading , this shows up as position sizing paired with a pre-set exit. A trader decides how much

capital one idea can absorb, then places a stop-loss at the point where the original thesis is clearly wrong, well before the loss becomes painful enough to cloud judgment. OANDA's mobile application, for example, includes lot size calculators and trailing stops that turn an abstract limit into a concrete instruction the moment a trade is opened, so the exit decision is made in calm conditions rather than in the middle of a losing streak. Leaders outside markets can apply the identical logic to a product launch, agreeing on a kill point and a spending ceiling while judgment is still unclouded by sunk cost. A hiring committee can do the same by setting a trial period and a clear underperformance signal before an offer goes out, rather than discovering months later that nobody ever agreed on what failure would look like.

## **Thinking in odds, not certainties**

Most people instinctively sort the future into what will happen and what will not. Strong decision-makers think in odds instead, treating a forecast as a distribution of possible outcomes rather than a single predicted one. The Good Judgment Project, a 2 that ran from 2011 to 2015 as part of a United States [US] government forecasting tournament, demonstrated the value of that habit at scale. Its top performers, later labeled superforecasters, beat every competing research team by wide margins, largely because they expressed views as percentages and adjusted those percentages in small increments as new evidence arrived instead of waiting to flip from one fixed view to another. Similar discipline shows up in how institutions track currency markets today, with a recent survey from the 3 reinforcing how volume and positioning data get continuously revised rather than fixed at a single reading. Currency markets delivered a sharp lesson in this habit in June 2016, when the Remain campaign entered the Brexit referendum count as the bookmakers' clear favorite. Sterling then fell more than 10% against the dollar within six hours of the result becoming apparent. A probabilistic thinker would not have predicted that outcome, but would have treated the less likely result as a live possibility worth planning for, rather than dismissing it entirely because it was not the favored one.

## **Treating fresh data with healthy doubt**

Numbers feel objective, which is precisely why they deserve scrutiny before anyone acts on them. In September 2025, the United States Bureau of Labor Statistics [BLS] published a preliminary estimate showing the economy had added 911,000 fewer jobs in the year to March 2025 than first reported, a sizable revision that serves as a reminder that the monthly

payroll figures traders react to in real time are early drafts rather than finished accounts. Revisions like that one are routine rather than exceptional, since initial estimates rely on survey samples that get checked later against fuller administrative records as they become available. The useful skill is not cynicism toward data but a habit of asking how a figure was actually collected, how often that type of figure tends to get revised and what specific result would genuinely change the view a person currently holds. One data point functions as a clue rather than a verdict. A consistent pattern that shows up across several independent sources comes much closer to something a decision-maker can lean on with confidence.

## **Deciding the rules before emotion arrives**

Research on prospect theory by Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky found that losses loom larger than equivalent gains, with the asymmetry often estimated at roughly two to one. Inside markets, that imbalance tempts people to hold losing positions far longer than the original logic justifies, while closing winning positions too early simply to lock in the relief of a gain. Discipline, in this context, is less about suppressing emotion in the moment than about deciding the rules in advance, while conditions are still calm enough to think clearly. Entry criteria and exit levels, set before a position is ever opened, give a person something concrete to follow once conditions turn into anything but calm. Many disciplined traders also keep a running journal of how they felt at the moment they opened each position, a habit that often reveals behavioral patterns a profit-and-loss statement alone would never surface. Executives can borrow the same mechanism directly, writing down the specific conditions under which they would walk away from a deal before the negotiation itself generates any emotional pull toward finishing it.

## **Rehearsing more than one future**

In the early 1970s, Shell's planning team under Pierre Wack built scenarios that explicitly included a sharp rise in oil prices, at a time when much of the rest of the industry simply assumed cheap supply would continue uninterrupted. When the 1973 oil crisis arrived in full force, Shell's managers had already rehearsed that version of the world and could act on it immediately rather than improvising under pressure. Wack was clear on one point throughout his work:

Scenarios were never meant as forecasts<sup>4</sup>; their value lay in changing how executives saw the future.

Traders apply a lighter version of the same discipline 5 rather than before multi-year industry shifts. Ahead of a central bank decision, a currency trader might sketch three concrete outcomes, such as a hold, a cut or a surprise hike, and decide in advance exactly how to respond to each one. That rehearsal does not improve the odds of guessing correctly. It removes the delay between an outcome occurring and a considered response actually following it.

## **Knowing when risk becomes uncertainty**

Economist Frank Knight drew a distinction in 1921 that still holds up under pressure today. Risk can be measured and assigned a probability based on historical patterns, while genuine uncertainty cannot be measured in that way at all. Trouble starts the moment people treat the second category as though it were the first, applying a model's false precision to a situation the model was never built to describe. In January 2015, the Swiss National Bank [SNB] abandoned its cap of 1.20 francs per euro only days after officials had publicly described that cap as a cornerstone of policy. The franc jumped nearly 30% against the euro in chaotic early trading, and every model calibrated to a world that assumed the cap would hold had very little useful to say about the world that existed the moment it did not. Recognizing genuine uncertainty means admitting plainly where a model's assumptions stop working, keeping a margin of safety sized for that blind spot and questioning any strategy that only succeeds if the recent past continues to repeat itself indefinitely.

## **Judging the call, not just the outcome**

A sound decision can still produce a poor result, and a careless one can occasionally get lucky despite itself. Judging decisions only by their outcomes teaches exactly the wrong lesson, encouraging people to abandon a genuinely good process after one unlucky break and to repeat a genuinely risky one after a single fortunate win. The Good Judgment Project treats the post-mortem as a core step in its forecasting process rather than an afterthought, and individuals can borrow that same structure using three direct questions. What did I actually know at the time the decision was made? What did I assume without checking it? How much of the result came down to luck rather than judgment? Asked consistently after every significant decision, win or lose, these three questions help separate genuine skill

from ordinary noise far more reliably than a single outcome ever could on its own.

## Turning seven habits into practice

None of these seven skills requires a finance degree, only repetition under real conditions. Markets happen to make an unusually efficient training ground because feedback arrives quickly, and demo accounts let newcomers test their reasoning against live price action before any real money is actually at stake. That same structure, decide the rule in advance, size the acceptable loss, track the reasoning and review the process afterward, transfers cleanly into a hiring committee, an investment committee or a single person weighing a career move. A manager deciding whether to promote from within can set the evaluation criteria before reviewing candidates, exactly the way a trader sets a stop-loss before opening a position. An investor can write down the thesis behind a position before buying it, then revisit that specific thesis rather than the resulting profit or loss when deciding whether the reasoning actually held up. The habits transfer because the underlying problem transfers. Budgeting, hiring and career decisions all share the same core condition that currency trading simply makes impossible to ignore, which is that the decision has to happen before the information that would make it easy ever arrives.

- 1Bank for International Settlements
- 2research program
- 3New York Fed
- 4Scenarios were never meant as forecasts
- 5before major events

## Summary

Every one of these seven habits does the same underlying job: it replaces a wish for certainty with a workable response to its absence. Risk assessment sets the boundary before capital moves. Probabilistic thinking keeps a low-odds outcome on the table instead of writing it off. Skepticism toward fresh data, discipline over emotion and a habit of rehearsing more than one future all protect a decision-maker from mistaking a model for the world it describes. Judging a call by the process behind it, rather than by how it turned out, is what keeps good habits intact after a bad break. None of this is unique to currency desks.

The same seven habits apply whenever a hiring decision, an investment or a career move has to be made before the facts are all in, which is most of the time.