

Turn Employee Knowledge Into Assets

Idea In Short

Roughly 90% of what an organization knows is never written down, it lives in employees' judgment, instincts, and hands-on experience built up over years. That tacit knowledge becomes a business risk the moment it exists only in a few people's heads, since the median private-sector employee stays just 3.5 years before moving on, and mergers, reorganizations, and retirements create the same exposure. A four-step framework turns that risk into an asset: map where critical knowledge lives, capture it from the employees who hold it, codify it into playbooks and workflows, then govern and maintain what gets documented so it does not go stale. Organizations that do this well become less dependent on any single employee, execute more consistently, and build real continuity into mergers, succession, and growth. The payoff is knowledge that keeps working for the business long after the person who built it has moved on.

When critical knowledge exists only in employees' heads, every resignation, reorganization, or acquisition puts your business at risk. Effective knowledge management helps turn that knowledge into a lasting business asset.

Some of your organization's most valuable knowledge isn't documented. It exists in your employees' experience, judgment, and day-to-day decisions. Every time a key employee walks out the door, a chunk of your operating engine leaves with them.

This is known as tacit knowledge: the unwritten expertise, instincts, and hands-on experience that employees build over time. It is the difference between knowing a process on paper and knowing how to actually get things done when something goes wrong.

Research cited in the South African Journal of Information Management estimates that tacit knowledge accounts for roughly 90% of organizational knowledge. That isn't inherently a problem, but it becomes one when organizations fail to capture and share it. Turning unwritten expertise into explicit, shared knowledge is how organizations protect and scale

what they know.

When Knowledge Becomes a Business Risk

Tacit knowledge isn't the problem. In fact, it's one of your organization's most valuable assets. The risk arises when critical knowledge exists only in the heads of a few employees. Without a way to capture and share it, everyday business events can quickly become operational risks.

Take employee turnover. The median employee tenure in the U.S. private sector was 3.5 years in January 2024, compared with 6.2 years in the public sector, according to the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Every departure takes experience, context, and practical know-how with it unless that knowledge has already been transferred.

The same applies during mergers and acquisitions. Organizations risk losing critical expertise just when new teams need it most, creating unnecessary disruption during integration. A company may own the process on paper, but the person who knows how to make it work may still own the reality.

Why Capturing Knowledge Creates Business Value

Capturing and codifying tacit knowledge is one of the foundational practices of effective knowledge management. It turns individual experience into shared, reusable organizational knowledge.

The need for knowledge codification is becoming more urgent. Microsoft's 2025 Work Trend Index found that employees using Microsoft 365 were interrupted by a meeting, email, or notification every two minutes during core work hours. The average worker also received 117 emails and 153 Teams messages per weekday. As a result, workers are spending more time "chasing clarity."

This is where knowledge codification delivers real business value.

Written playbooks keep operations steady when people leave. They make scaling easier because new teams don't always need the founder or one senior employee in the room. Most importantly, they turn one person's hard-earned expertise into corporate capital that

continues creating value long after that person moves on.

The question is no longer whether organizations should capture tacit knowledge, but how they can do it systematically and at scale.

A Four-Step Framework for Capturing Knowledge

Turning tacit knowledge into shared organizational knowledge takes four steps. Each one builds on the last. Treating the steps out of order tends to produce documentation that looks thorough but misses the processes that would actually hurt the business if they disappeared. The departures that create this risk are also often predictable rather than random: HR professionals surveyed by the Society for Human Resource Management's own research institute named inadequate compensation as the leading reason employees leave, cited by 39% of respondents.¹ A framework that starts with mapping, rather than jumping straight to writing things down, is what keeps the effort focused on what matters most.

1. Map Critical Organizational Knowledge

Start by identifying where your most valuable knowledge lives. List your key processes, the people who run them, the systems they use, and the chat channels where important decisions are actually made. Then ask one simple question: what breaks if this person is gone for a month? This mapping step is worth doing even before any interviews begin, since it tells you which processes deserve attention first and which can safely wait. Skipping it tends to produce a scattered documentation effort that captures whatever is easiest to write down rather than what the business actually depends on. Knowledge management researchers describe mapping as the best way to build agreement across a leadership team on what actually counts as critical, since critical knowledge is often hard to spot from outside the team that holds it.²

2. Capture Tacit Knowledge from Employees

Next, get that knowledge out of people's heads. Use structured interviews, shadowing, decision logs, and process walkthroughs. Relevant chat histories can also provide valuable context. Knowledge transfer shouldn't begin when someone is already walking out the door.

NASA's supervisor guide recommends creating a knowledge transfer plan as soon as an employee signals they're leaving, ideally with an overlap period for their successor.

3. Codify Knowledge into Processes and Playbooks

Raw expertise needs structure. Turn interviews and observations into workflows, SOPs, decision trees, and playbooks. The goal is reusable knowledge, not another archive. A structured enterprise knowledge management framework provides the foundation for organizing, governing, and delivering knowledge to the people who need it. Without structure, you're simply creating another pile of information that nobody can find.

4. Govern and Maintain Organizational Knowledge

This is where many initiatives lose momentum. Knowledge decays. Processes change. A playbook from two years ago can be worse than no playbook at all because it misleads people. Assign ownership. Make search work. Set review cycles. Version everything.

The UK's Rose Book: Guidance on Knowledge Asset Management in Government recommends regularly reviewing existing knowledge assets to identify and close gaps.

The question is no longer whether organizations should capture tacit knowledge, but how they can do it systematically and at scale. The four steps above provide the foundation. Next come the common pitfalls that determine whether or not those efforts succeed over time.

Common Knowledge Management Challenges to Avoid

Building a knowledge management capability is straightforward in principle, but many organizations struggle during implementation. These are the three challenges that most often undermine long-term success. Researchers studying why employees share or withhold information at work have found that people are more likely to hold back when they feel overloaded or feel that colleagues depend too heavily on them, sometimes resorting to playing dumb or quietly never following through on a promise to share.³ None of these three challenges are unique to any one industry, and all three tend to resurface at different stages of a knowledge management program rather than appearing just once at the start. Recognizing them early is usually cheaper than fixing them after a program has already lost momentum.

1. **Treating Knowledge Management as a One-Time Project.** Knowledge doesn't stay current on its own. Processes change. People leave. Yesterday's best practice

becomes tomorrow's bad advice. Knowledge management is an ongoing capability, not a one-time project.

2. **Employee Resistance.** People may worry that documenting what they know makes them less valuable or easier to replace. That's why many prefer to explain a process face-to-face rather than document it. Organizations should reward knowledge sharing and help employees understand that sharing expertise strengthens the business rather than diminishing their own value.
3. **Over-Documentation.** Don't create a 50-page manual for a simple task. Capturing everything creates another problem: nobody knows where to look. A 2025 systematic review found that knowledge management systems can become "competency traps" when organizations become locked into outdated practices. Focus on documenting the knowledge that creates the greatest business value, while allowing less critical knowledge to remain informal.

The Business Benefits of Knowledge Management

Organizations that consistently capture and manage knowledge become more resilient, scalable, and less dependent on individual employees. The benefits extend far beyond preserving expertise. Gallup's research on employee onboarding found that programs falling short of exceptional end up working against the organization rather than for it, which is a clear signal of how much captured institutional knowledge matters in a new hire's first months.⁴ The three benefits below show up in different parts of the business, but they all trace back to the same underlying shift: knowledge that used to live in one person's head now lives somewhere the whole organization can reach. That shift tends to compound over time, since each new process captured makes the next one easier to document as well.

Reduced Key-Person Dependency

Organizations become less dependent on any single individual's availability. New hires can take months to reach full productivity, and advanced roles often take even longer. Every extra week spent searching for answers instead of contributing represents lost productivity. Capturing knowledge reduces that dependency and helps new employees become effective more quickly. That matters most in specialized or technical roles, where the ramp-up period for a new hire can stretch well past the standard onboarding window if nobody has written down how the work actually gets done.

More Consistent Execution

The best way to perform a task is no longer locked in one person's memory. Instead, organizations can turn proven experience into repeatable playbooks, workflows, and guidelines that help every employee work the same way. Consistent execution also makes the business easier to scale because teams no longer reinvent the wheel every time someone joins the organization. Customer-facing teams in particular benefit from this consistency, since a documented process means a client gets the same quality of service regardless of which employee happens to handle their request. That reliability becomes a selling point in its own right once clients start to notice it.

Stronger Business Continuity

Whether the challenge is a merger, business succession, or organizational growth, transferring operational knowledge becomes significantly easier when it no longer depends on individual employees. Business continuity stops being something organizations hope for and becomes something they actively build into their operations. A leadership transition is one of the clearest tests of this, since a departing executive who has documented decision rules and key relationships leaves a successor with something to work from, rather than a blank page. Family-owned and founder-led businesses face this risk especially acutely, since so much institutional knowledge can sit with one or two people who have never had to write any of it down. Guidance on protecting this kind of institutional knowledge points to the same underlying causes regardless of why a key person leaves, whether through a sudden departure, retirement, illness, or injury, and recommends pairing succession planning with a documented business continuity plan that names critical roles in advance.⁵

Together, these benefits transform knowledge management from an operational initiative into a strategic business capability.

Knowledge That Stays with the Business

Every organization depends on knowledge that isn't documented. As long as that knowledge exists only in employees' minds, it remains vulnerable to turnover, organizational change, and everyday operational disruptions.

Effective knowledge management changes that. By capturing tacit knowledge, codifying it into shared resources, and maintaining it over time, organizations turn individual experience into a lasting business asset that supports continuity, growth, and better decision-making.

Here's a practical place to start: identify the five processes that would cause the greatest disruption if the people responsible for them were unavailable tomorrow. Those are the first processes worth capturing. Everything else can follow.

- 1SHRM reports offer key retention data
- 2What is critical knowledge?
- 3New research uncovers why we share or hide knowledge from co-workers
- 4Create an exceptional onboarding journey for your new employees
- 5Is your expertise walking out the door?

Summary

Knowledge management succeeds or fails on follow-through, not on the initial capture effort. A playbook that nobody updates becomes actively misleading within a year or two, so ownership, review cycles, and version control matter as much as the original interviews and workflows. The organizations that get this right treat documentation as an ongoing capability rather than a one-time project, reward employees for sharing what they know instead of hoarding it, and resist the urge to document everything just because they can. The real test shows up when a key employee leaves, a company merges, or a team scales, and the business keeps running because the knowledge it depends on no longer lives in one person's head. A practical starting point is narrow: pick the five processes that would cause the most disruption if their owners disappeared tomorrow, and capture those first.