How Burberry Reclaimed Its Luxury

Idea In Short

Executives managing a diluted premium or luxury brand should look first at internal consistency, not marketing spend, before committing new budget. Burberry's revival between 2006 and 2014 shows that centralizing design authority, cutting a trademark pattern down to a fraction of its former use, and investing early in digital storytelling restores pricing power faster than a new advertising campaign does. Angela Ahrendts, who became chief executive officer [CEO] in 2006, found that 23 licensees were producing inconsistent products across markets, eroding the exclusivity a premium price demands. Christopher Bailey, appointed creative director and later chief creative officer [CCO], tightened control over every product a customer could see. The recommendation for any leader facing brand dilution is straightforward: fix the product experience before touching the marketing budget, and treat content as a revenue driver rather than an afterthought.

A Brand Diluted By Its Own Success

Burberry entered 2005 growing at roughly 2 percent a year, a sluggish pace inside a luxury market that was expanding rapidly elsewhere. The company had licensed its design and manufacturing to different studios across the United States, the United Kingdom (U.K.) and Hong Kong, so a customer encountered a different product depending on where she shopped. American stores sold a classic British trench coat carrying a "Made in the U.S.A." label at half the European price, while the Hong Kong collection consisted mainly of checked shirts with no trench coat in sight. The company's trademark check, once a subtle signature of quality tailoring, had been licensed onto hats, dog accessories and cheap accessories until it became shorthand for a downmarket stereotype in parts of Europe. Counterfeiters found the pattern trivial to copy, which compounded the damage to a brand whose entire value proposition rested on scarcity. A company built on 150 years of heritage had allowed inconsistent licensing to turn a distinctive signature into a liability, and reversing that required more than a new advertising budget.

Centralizing Design To Restore Consistency

Angela Ahrendts took over as CEO in 2006 and began by touring the design studios and stores in each major market to see firsthand what customers actually experienced. She later described the scale of the inconsistency in a Harvard Business Review article that became a reference case for retail turnarounds.

> From Apple to Starbucks, I love the consistency, knowing that anywhere in the world you can depend on having the same experience in the store or being served a latte with the same taste and in the same cup. That's great branding. (Angela Ahrendts, CEO of Burberry, 2006-2014)1

Christopher Bailey, who had joined as creative director in 2004, was given authority in 2006 over every product a customer would see, and Burberry moved weaving of its gabardine fabric and manufacturing of its heritage trench coats back to the U.K. The change gave the company one design point of view instead of a dozen regional interpretations competing for the same customer. Bailey then used that centralized authority to introduce new colors and silhouettes without losing the fabric and construction standards that had defined the brand for a century. Consistency, in other words, became the foundation that made later investments in digital storytelling and reduced check usage credible rather than cosmetic.

Retiring The Check To Rebuild Scarcity

A luxury brand's greatest risk is becoming ordinary, and Burberry's overused check had made exactly that mistake by appearing on products that never warranted a premium price. Business strategists studying the recovery pointed to the check reduction as the clearest signal that Burberry understood the mechanics of exclusivity better than its licensees had. Cutting the check back to roughly 10 percent of the product range meant walking away from short-term licensing revenue in exchange for long-term pricing power. The decision mirrors a pattern seen across other premium categories, where a distinctive marker only holds its value if it stays rare enough to signal status rather than availability2.

The company also tightened its licensing agreements more broadly, ending arrangements that had allowed regional partners to set their own pricing and quality standards. That single move restored the scarcity a $1,000 coat needs to justify its price, since a Rolex loses its appeal the moment its bracelet sells separately for $30. Burberry's leadership treated the check the way a chief financial officer treats an underperforming product line, and cut it despite the short-term revenue it still generated.

Betting On Digital Before Rivals Dared

While most luxury competitors treated digital channels as a risk to brand mystique, Burberry moved in the opposite direction and built a single global website featuring film, music and storytelling rather than a static product catalog. The company partnered with Google on an interactive campaign and used livestreamed runway shows to reach customers who would never set foot in a flagship store. Christopher Bailey, who became CEO in 2014 after Ahrendts departed for Apple, described the shift directly.

> Burberry is now as much a media-content company as we are a design company (Christopher Bailey, Chief Creative Officer, 2009-2014, and CEO, 2014-2017, Burberry)3

Sales staff, who had struggled to justify a $1,000 coat over a $50 shirt on commission alone, received tablets loaded with craftsmanship videos and training on the brand's history so they could sell the story rather than just the garment. Stores were fitted with audiovisual technology to project that same content directly onto the shop floor. Burberry also embraced the millennial customer years before most luxury houses took the generation seriously, wagering correctly that younger buyers researched brands online long before they ever visited a store4.

The results validated the wager: within a few years, weekly traffic to Burberry's digital platforms exceeded the combined foot traffic of every physical store the company operated worldwide.

Selling Heritage As Much As Apparel

Luxury customers rarely buy a product only for its function, and Burberry rebuilt its pricing power by reminding buyers exactly what history they were purchasing alongside the coat.

Thomas Burberry invented gabardine in 1879, a tightly woven, water-resistant fabric that eventually became the material of the trench coat during the First World War, when British officers wore it in combat. That military origin gave Burberry a credible heritage story that no newer competitor could replicate, since the coat had also clothed polar explorer Roald Amundsen and appeared in Casablanca decades before becoming a modern fashion staple5.

Chanel's quilted 2.55 bag offers a comparable example from a different house, since the design's value comes from Coco Chanel putting a strap on a handbag so women could carry it on the shoulder and keep their hands free as they entered the workforce. Customers pay a premium for that story as much as for the leather and stitching, and Burberry applied the same logic to its own trench coat, which now exists in more than 300 styles across colors, materials and silhouettes. The company also began using British models exclusively in its campaigns and staged runway shows with live orchestras, reinforcing the heritage narrative at every visible touchpoint rather than only in advertising copy6.

By 2012, apparel accounted for roughly 60 percent of Burberry's revenue, and outerwear alone contributed about half of that figure, confirming that the heritage-driven trench coat had returned to the center of the business rather than remaining a nostalgic side note.

Lessons For Leaders Beyond Fashion

Burberry's recovery offers a transferable playbook for any executive managing a brand that has drifted toward genericness through decentralized decision-making. The company expanded deliberately, opening 132 new stores over a short period by favoring cities where at least two competitors already operated, a signal that demand existed rather than a guess about untapped markets. By 2016, 77 percent of Burberry's sales came from its own stores rather than wholesale or licensed partners, giving the company direct control over pricing and presentation that most competitors had ceded to third parties. Christopher Bailey and Angela Ahrendts had studied technology companies rather than fashion houses for inspiration, borrowing Apple's obsession with retail consistency and Google's comfort with digital experimentation7.

The transformation shows that a legacy brand does not need to choose between heritage and innovation, since Burberry used its 170-year history as the raw material for a thoroughly modern content strategy. Any leader inheriting a brand diluted by inconsistent licensing, overexposed trademarks or timid digital investment can apply the same sequence: centralize control, cut what has become common, and then tell the history that justifies the price.

Burberry's recovery rested on three linked decisions rather than one clever campaign. Angela Ahrendts centralized design and manufacturing to guarantee that a customer in Hong Kong and a customer in New York bought the same product at a comparable price. Christopher Bailey cut the trademark check back to a small share of output, reversing the dilution that had made the brand feel ordinary. Together, they redirected marketing spend toward digital content, video and storytelling that framed the trench coat as a piece of British history rather than a commodity. Revenue roughly tripled and operating profit more than doubled during their tenure, and the brand's digital reach eventually exceeded the foot traffic of its entire store network. The underlying lesson travels well beyond fashion: scarcity, consistency and narrative, applied together, rebuild pricing power that discounting alone cannot restore.

- 1Harvard Business Review On Ahrendts' Turnaround
- 2Forbes On Burberry's Brand Reinvention
- 3Digiday On Burberry's Digital Leadership
- 4South China Morning Post On Bailey's Digital Vision
- 5Smithsonian Magazine On The Trench Coat's Origins
- 6Burberry's Official Company History
- 7Business Of Fashion On Christopher Bailey's Tenure

Summary

Burberry's recovery rested on three linked decisions rather than one clever campaign. Angela Ahrendts centralized design and manufacturing to guarantee that a customer in Hong Kong and a customer in New York bought the same product at a comparable price. Christopher Bailey cut the trademark check back to a small share of output, reversing the dilution that had made the brand feel ordinary. Together, they redirected marketing spend toward digital content, video and storytelling that framed the trench coat as a piece of British history rather than a commodity. Revenue roughly tripled and operating profit more than doubled during their tenure, and the brand's digital reach eventually exceeded the foot traffic of its entire store network. The underlying lesson travels well beyond fashion:

scarcity, consistency and narrative, applied together, rebuild pricing power that discounting alone cannot restore.