

Avoiding AI Pitfalls

Idea In Short

Leaders, consultants and everyday speakers face specific risks when using generative AI. Without clear guardrails, AI can introduce verbal patterns, errors and tone mismatches that confuse audiences and erode trust

Confidently Wrong: The Accuracy Trap

Generative AI systems can produce output that sounds authoritative while being incorrect. They may misstate facts, dates, or names, then reinforce those errors when prompted further. Communicators who accept this output at face value risk quoting false information on stage or in client documents. This accuracy trap is especially dangerous for leaders whose statements influence decisions and reputations.¹

For consultants, the trap can show up in industry examples, statistics, or case references that AI has stitched together from incomplete patterns. Without verification, these details might appear legitimate but fail basic fact checks. Clients may later discover errors, which reduces trust in both the consultant and any technology they recommend.

Communicators must therefore treat AI content as draft material. Every factual statement produced by AI should be checked against reliable sources before inclusion in talks, reports, or leadership messages. This practice slows production slightly but protects credibility in the long run.

Flawed Verbal Patterns and Tone Drift

Another pitfall lies in AI's verbal habits. Tools often default to certain styles, such as overuse of generic praise or repeated phrases that sound plausible but vague. These patterns can seep into speeches, making language less precise and more clichéd. Over time, audiences learn to recognize this style and may tune out or question whether the speaker truly owns the message.²

Tone drift is related. AI may produce enthusiastic, upbeat messages even when the situation calls for seriousness or nuance. Leaders who copy such content risk appearing misaligned with reality, which can damage morale and invite skepticism. Consultants using AI drafts must also ensure that tone fits organizational culture and audience expectations.

To avoid these issues, communicators should develop personal language baselines. They can compare AI drafts against their usual style, then edit heavily. The goal is to keep useful structure or phrasing while removing generic or exaggerated elements that do not reflect their true voice.

Overreliance and Erosion of Skill

Generative AI's convenience can create a gradual dependence where communicators stop practicing core skills. If speakers reach for AI every time they face a blank page, they may lose confidence in their ability to originate ideas or structure arguments. Over years, this erosion can weaken leadership presence and advisory impact because audiences expect original thought, not repeated patterns derived from tools.³

Consultants and leaders must guard against this by maintaining regular practice without AI. They can set rules, such as drafting initial outlines by hand before involving tools. This discipline keeps creative muscles active and ensures that AI remains additive rather than substitutive.

Organizations can support this by creating training programs that emphasize human communication skills alongside AI literacy. The message should be clear: tools exist to extend human capacity, not to replace it. When teams internalize this, they are less likely to hand over entire communication tasks to AI.

Designing Responsible AI Communication Policies

To manage generative AI risks, organizations should design explicit policies for its use in communication. These policies might cover verification standards, disclosure norms and data privacy rules. For example, teams could agree that any AI assisted speech must be reviewed by a human peer before delivery and that sensitive information will never enter public tools.

Policies also help manage expectations. When staff know they are expected to edit and verify AI drafts, they approach tools with the right mindset. This reduces the risk of accidental plagiarism or misrepresentation, where AI content closely resembles existing material without clear attribution.

Responsible policies should remain flexible. As tools evolve, organizations can refine guardrails. The goal is not to restrict innovation but to protect trust while benefiting from new capabilities. Leaders who communicate these rules clearly show that they value both technological progress and audience respect.

- 17 Generative AI Pitfalls For Communicators
- 2Do's And Don'ts Of Using AI In Speechwriting
- 3ChatGPT

Summary

By understanding and avoiding AI's pitfalls, communicators can use tools to enhance clarity and impact while protecting accuracy, authenticity and audience respect