Category

Strategy

Strategy for Balancing Efficiency, Resilience & Innovation Investment Playbook

Making the three-way trade-off between efficiency, resilience, and innovation explicit instead of letting one crowd out the others by default

- Executive
- Advanced
- Template Included

- Mithun A. Sridharan

- 04 August 2024
- 4 minute(s)

Overview

A framework for deliberately balancing investment across efficiency (near-term margin), resilience (buffer against disruption), and innovation (future advantage) — three legitimate but competing priorities that, left unexamined, tend to let efficiency win by default at the other two's expense.

Why does efficiency tend to win by default over resilience and

innovation? Efficiency gains are usually near-term, measurable, and directly rewarded by quarterly performance metrics. Resilience and innovation investment costs are near-term and certain, while their benefits are longer-term and probabilistic — a structural bias that favors efficiency unless deliberately counterbalanced.

Is there a "right" balance across the three priorities?

It depends on your specific business — industry volatility, competitive pace, and capital position all affect the right balance, which is exactly why this playbook is a framework for making the trade-off explicit rather than prescribing one universal ratio.

{ "@context": "https://schema.org", "@type": "FAQPage", "mainEntity": [{ "@type": "Question", "name": "Why does efficiency tend to win by default over resilience and", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "innovation?\nEfficiency gains are usually near-term, measurable, and directly\nrewarded by quarterly performance metrics.

Resilience and innovation\ninvestment costs are near-term and certain, while their benefits are\nlonger-term and probabilistic — a structural bias that favors\nefficiency unless deliberately counterbalanced." } }, { "@type": "Question", "name": "Is there a \"right\" balance across the three priorities?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "It depends on your specific business — industry volatility, competitive\npace, and capital position all affect the right balance, which is\nexactly why this playbook is a framework for making the trade-off\nexplicit rather than prescribing one universal ratio." } }] }

Subscriber access

Unlock this playbook

This playbook — including every framework, template, and step-by-step section — is available free to Think Insights subscribers. Enter your email to unlock it instantly and get our weekly insights newsletter. No account needed, and access is remembered on this device.

First Name

Last Name

Email

Country - Select -

- I agree to data processing in accordance with GDPR

Submit

Leave this field blank

References

Related playbooks

Strategy

Geo-Economic & Sanctions Risk Strategy Playbook

A framework for assessing sanctions and export control exposure across markets, customers, and supply chains, and building compliance and contingency planning i

- Executive
- Advanced
- Template Included

Read playbook

Strategy

Corporate Strategy: From Vision to Executable Roadmap

Turn your company vision into an executable roadmap: a proven cascade from strategic pillars to OKRs to a resourced, quarterly-reviewed plan

- Executive
- Intermediate
- Template Included
- Workshop Ready

Read playbook

Strategy

Strategy for Shareholder Activism & Defense Playbook

A framework for preparing a credible response to shareholder activism — knowing your own vulnerabilities, having a response team and communication plan ready, a

- Executive
- Advanced
- Template Included

Read playbook

Talk to us about strategic investment balance

Tags

- Strategic Trade-offs
- Investment Allocation
- Resilience

Author

Mithun A. Sridharan Thought Leader

I'm Mithun A. Sridharan, Founder of this website - Think Insights - on Strategy, Management Consulting, Leadership, Digital Transformation, and Data Literacy. Follow me on social media or connect with me on LinkedIn for updates.