

Category

Strategy

Growth Strategy Playbook (Ansoff Matrix in Action)

A working method for using the Ansoff Matrix to generate, score, and sequence market penetration, product development, market development, and diversification growth bets.

- Beginner
- Beginner
- Template Included

- Mithun A. Sridharan
- 18 November 2021
- 8 minute(s)

Overview

A practical way to generate growth options across the four Ansoff Matrix quadrants, score them on risk and return, and sequence them into a resourced three-year growth roadmap.

Which Ansoff quadrant should get the majority of our growth budget?

There's no universal answer, but most healthy growth portfolios weight market penetration and the two moderate-risk quadrants (product development and market development) at 60-70% combined, reserving the remainder for one or two diversification bets. The right mix depends on how much headroom is left in your existing market.

Isn't diversification just a bad idea in general?

Not inherently — some of the most valuable growth in corporate history came from diversification — but it has the highest failure rate of the four quadrants because it requires building new capability and new market knowledge at once. The playbook doesn't rule it out; it insists you size and resource it honestly rather than treating it like a penetration-level bet.

How do we score "capability fit" without it just becoming a subjective guess?

Ground it in specific, checkable questions: does the team already have the skills, the customer relationships, and the operational infrastructure this option requires, or would all three need to be built from scratch? An option requiring all three built from zero should score low on capability fit regardless of how attractive its market opportunity looks.

Can a single company run separate Ansoff matrices for different business units?

Yes, and for a diversified company it's the better approach — build one matrix per business unit to generate unit-specific options, then roll the individually scored options up into a company-wide portfolio allocation view so leadership can see the mix across the whole business, not just within one unit.

What's a realistic timeframe to see results from a market-development bet?

Expect 9-18 months to reach a meaningful revenue contribution for most market-development bets, since you're relying on an already-proven product but still need to build new market presence, relationships, or distribution — faster than diversification, slower than penetration.

```
{ "@context": "https://schema.org", "@type": "FAQPage", "mainEntity": [ { "@type": "Question", "name": "Which Ansoff quadrant should get the majority of our growth budget?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "There's no universal answer, but most healthy growth portfolios weight market penetration and the two moderate-risk quadrants (product development and market development) at 60-70% combined, reserving the remainder for one or two diversification bets. The right mix depends on how much headroom is left in your existing market." } }, { "@type": "Question", "name": "Isn't diversification just a bad idea in general?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "Not inherently — some of the most valuable growth in corporate history came from diversification — but it has the highest failure rate of the four quadrants because it requires building new capability and new market knowledge at once. The playbook doesn't rule it out; it insists you size and resource it honestly rather than treating it like a penetration-level bet." } }, { "@type": "Question", "name": "How do we score \"capability fit\" without it just becoming a subjective guess?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "Ground it in specific, checkable questions: does the team already have the skills, the customer relationships, and the operational infrastructure this option requires, or would all three need
```

to be built from scratch? An option requiring all three built from zero should score low on capability fit regardless of how attractive its market opportunity looks." } }, { "@type": "Question", "name": "Can a single company run separate Ansoff matrices for different business units?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "Yes, and for a diversified company it's the better approach — build one matrix per business unit to generate unit-specific options, then roll the individually scored options up into a company-wide portfolio allocation view so leadership can see the mix across the whole business, not just within one unit." } }, { "@type": "Question", "name": "What's a realistic timeframe to see results from a market-development bet?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "Expect 9-18 months to reach a meaningful revenue contribution for most market-development bets, since you're relying on an already-proven product but still need to build new market presence, relationships, or distribution — faster than diversification, slower than penetration." } }] }

Subscriber access

Unlock this playbook

This playbook — including every framework, template, and step-by-step section — is available free to Think Insights subscribers. Enter your email to unlock it instantly and get our weekly insights newsletter. No account needed, and access is remembered on this device.

First Name

Last Name

Email

Country

I agree to data processing in accordance with GDPR

Leave this field blank

References

Related playbooks

Strategy

Strategy for Talent Scarcity & Skills Market Competition Playbook

A framework for competing effectively in genuinely scarce talent markets by building structural advantages — role design, career pathways, flexible sourcing — r

- Executive
- Intermediate
- Template Included

[Read playbook](#)

Strategy

Strategy for Subscription, Usage-Based & Recurring Revenue Models Playbook

A framework for the strategic and operating model changes a shift to subscription or usage-based revenue actually requires — beyond pricing, into customer succe

- Executive
- Intermediate
- Template Included

[Read playbook](#)

Strategy

Strategy for Regionalization, Friend-Shoring & Supply Chain Restructuring Playbook

A framework for evaluating supply chain regionalization and friend-shoring decisions against a genuine cost-resilience trade-off, rather than reflexive restruct

- Executive
- Advanced
- Template Included

[Read playbook](#)

[Talk to our strategy team](#)

Author

Mithun A. Sridharan

I'm Mithun A. Sridharan, Founder of this website - Think Insights - on Strategy, Management Consulting, Leadership, Digital Transformation, and Data Literacy. Follow me on social media or connect with me on LinkedIn for updates.