

Category

Strategy

Competitive Strategy Playbook (Porter's Five Forces in Practice)

A practical walkthrough of Porter's Five Forces that turns industry analysis into scored evidence and defensible strategic moves, not a static diagram.

- Practitioner
- Intermediate
- Template Included

- Mithun A. Sridharan
- 13 November 2021
- 8 minute(s)

Overview

A hands-on method for scoring Porter's Five Forces with real evidence, finding the force actually compressing your margin, and turning that into a specific competitive response.

How is Five Forces different from a straightforward SWOT analysis?

SWOT is company-specific and largely qualitative; Five Forces is industry-structural and evidence-scored, explaining why an entire industry's profitability behaves the way it does regardless of any one company's strengths or weaknesses. Used together, Five Forces explains the external structure and SWOT maps your specific position within it.

What counts as a "substitute" versus a direct competitor?

A direct competitor solves the same problem the same way you do; a substitute solves the same underlying customer problem in a fundamentally different way — for a payment processor, a substitute might be a buy-now-pay-later product that removes the need for traditional processing on a transaction entirely, not another processor.

Can a force score change without any competitor doing anything differently?

Yes — force scores respond to structural shifts like new regulation, a technology lowering switching costs, or buyer consolidation, none of which require any single competitor to act. This is why the framework is scored at the industry-structure level rather than the competitor-behavior level.

Should every business unit in a diversified company run its own Five Forces analysis?

Yes, separately for each distinct industry or segment the business unit competes in. A single company-wide analysis averages across genuinely different competitive structures and produces scores too generic to drive a specific response in any one business.

How do we know if our proposed strategic response is strong enough?

The war-gaming step is the test: if a plausible competitor response within 12 months would neutralize your move, it isn't strong enough on its own. Strong responses typically combine two or more mechanisms — for example, a cost move plus a switching-cost move — so a single competitor countermove can't fully offset them. If every proposed move fails the war-game, that's a signal to revisit the force diagnosis itself rather than force a weak response through.

```
{ "@context": "https://schema.org", "@type": "FAQPage", "mainEntity": [ { "@type": "Question", "name": "How is Five Forces different from a straightforward SWOT analysis?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "SWOT is company-specific and largely qualitative; Five Forces is industry-structural and evidence-scored, explaining why an entire industry's profitability behaves the way it does regardless of any one company's strengths or weaknesses. Used together, Five Forces explains the external structure and SWOT maps your specific position within it." } }, { "@type": "Question", "name": "What counts as a \"substitute\" versus a direct competitor?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "A direct competitor solves the same problem the same way you do; a substitute solves the same underlying customer problem in a fundamentally different way — for a payment processor, a substitute might be a buy-now-pay-later product that removes the need for traditional processing on a transaction entirely, not another processor." } }, { "@type": "Question", "name": "Can a force score change without any competitor doing anything differently?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "Yes — force scores respond to structural shifts like new regulation, a technology lowering switching costs, or buyer consolidation, none of which require any single competitor to act. This is why the framework
```

is scored at the industry-structure level rather than the competitor-behavior level." } }, {
"@type": "Question", "name": "Should every business unit in a diversified company run its
own Five Forces analysis?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "Yes,
separately for each distinct industry or segment the business unit competes in. A single
company-wide analysis averages across genuinely different competitive structures and
produces scores too generic to drive a specific response in any one business." } }, {
"@type": "Question", "name": "How do we know if our proposed strategic response is
strong enough?", "acceptedAnswer": { "@type": "Answer", "text": "The war-gaming step is
the test: if a plausible competitor response within 12 months would neutralize your move, it
isn't strong enough on its own. Strong responses typically combine two or more
mechanisms — for example, a cost move plus a switching-cost move — so a single
competitor countermove can't fully offset them. If every proposed move fails the war-game,
that's a signal to revisit the force diagnosis itself rather than force a weak response
through." } }] }

Subscriber access

Unlock this playbook

This playbook — including every framework, template, and step-by-step section — is available free to Think Insights subscribers. Enter your email to unlock it instantly and get our weekly insights newsletter. No account needed, and access is remembered on this device.

First Name

Last Name

Email

Country

I agree to data processing in accordance with GDPR

Leave this field blank

References

Related playbooks

Strategy

Strategy for Talent Scarcity & Skills Market Competition Playbook

A framework for competing effectively in genuinely scarce talent markets by building structural advantages — role design, career pathways, flexible sourcing — r

- Executive
- Intermediate
- Template Included

[Read playbook](#)

Strategy

Strategy for Subscription, Usage-Based & Recurring Revenue Models Playbook

A framework for the strategic and operating model changes a shift to subscription or usage-based revenue actually requires — beyond pricing, into customer succe

- Executive
- Intermediate
- Template Included

[Read playbook](#)

Strategy

Strategy for Regionalization, Friend-Shoring & Supply Chain Restructuring Playbook

A framework for evaluating supply chain regionalization and friend-shoring decisions against a genuine cost-resilience trade-off, rather than reflexive restruct

- Executive
- Advanced
- Template Included

[Read playbook](#)

[Talk to our strategy team](#)

Author

Mithun A. Sridharan

I'm Mithun A. Sridharan, Founder of this website - Think Insights - on Strategy, Management Consulting, Leadership, Digital Transformation, and Data Literacy. Follow me on social media or connect with me on LinkedIn for updates.